



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 140 Alexandra, Vignus 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10.041,791	10.19.2001	Tomoyuki Hirano	09792909-5225	3118
26263	7590 05.30.2003			
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			EXAMINER	
			BOOTH, RICHARD A	
CHICAGO, I	L 00000-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		•			
Office Action Summany	10/041,791	HIRANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Richard A. Booth	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>12 May 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 8 is/are pending in the	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	-948) 5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 10/041,791

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 depends from canceled claim 7. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/041,791

Art Unit: 2812

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted prior art in view of Jeng et al., U.S. Patent 6,153,465.

Admitted prior art shows the invention substantially as claimed including forming a semiconductor film on a substrate and then growing spherical or hemispherical grains on the surface of the semiconductor film; and then diffusing an impurity to the grains grown on the surface of the semiconductor film, for example, containing phosphorous, where the spherical or hemispherical grains form part of an electrode for a capacitor (see paragraph bridging pages 1-2 of the specification).

Admitted prior art fails to expressly disclose removing the impurity product, which is generated in the step of diffusing the impurity, from the surface of the semiconductor film using hot water, and removing native oxide on the semiconductor film after the step of removing the impurity product.

Jenq et al. discloses forming and doping spherical or hemispherical grains followed by removing the native oxide layer using a solution containing hot water (see col. 1-lines 54-65). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of the Admitted prior art to remove the impurity product and the native oxide using a solution containing hot water because Jenq et al. shows this is a suitable solution for removing native oxide films. Regarding the removal of the impurity product and then removing the native oxide, the transposition of process steps or the splitting of one step into two, where the processes are substantially identical or equivalent in terms of function,

Application/Control Number: 10/041,791

Art Unit: 2812

manner and result, was held to be not patentably distinguish the processes. *Ex parte Rubin* 128 USPQ 440 (PTO BdPatApp 1959). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to simultaneously remove the native oxide and the impurity product because this would reduce the number of steps thereby improving the throughput.

With respect to the temperature of the deionized water, "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted prior art in view of Jenq et al., U.S. Patent 6,153,465 as applied to claims 1-5 above, and further in view of Ghandhi.

Admitted prior art and Jenq et al. are applied as above but fail to expressly disclose removing the native oxide using a combination of hydrofluoric acid and deionized water. Ghandhi discloses etching silicon oxide using a hydrofluoric acid/water mixture. In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Admitted prior art in view of Jenq so as to etch the native oxide using a hydrofluoric acid/deionized water mixture because Ghandhi teaches this solution to be a suitable etchant for silicon oxide.

Art Unit: 2812

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A. Booth whose telephone number is 308-3446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 308-7724 for regular communications and 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1782.

> Richard A. Booth Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2812

May 26, 2003